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Aortopulmonary window (APW) is a septation defect between the ascending aorta and main pulmonary artery, and it accounts for 0.2-0.6% of all congenital heart diseases. The diagnosis is made by detecting the defect between the ascending aorta and pulmonary artery while both semilunar valves are fully developed. Based on the anatomical characteristics, APW is classified into four types: proximal (Type 1) defect, distal (Type 2) defect, total (Type 3) defect and intermediate (Type 4) defect. APW is traditionally treated by surgery, and there are a few reports about transcatheter APW closure in infancy. Only defects with adequate superior and inferior rims can be considered for device closure. We describe two cases who underwent transcatheter APW closure with the Amplatzer duct occluder-I (ADO-I). Our experience shows that the ADO-I can achieve good results in closure of APW for selected patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Aortopulmonary window (APW) is a septation defect between the ascending aorta and main pulmonary artery, and it accounts for 0.2-0.6% of all congenital heart diseases. The diagnosis is made by detecting the defect between the ascending aorta and pulmonary artery while both semilunar valves are fully developed. More than half of the patients with APW have other cardiovascular anomalies, such as atrial septal defect, ventricular septal defect, patent ductus arteriosus and interrupted aortic arch. Based on the anatomical characteristics, APW is classified into four types: proximal (Type 1) defect, distal (Type 2) defect, total (Type 3) defect and intermediate (Type 4) defect. While proximal defects have little inferior rim in relation to the semilunar valves, distal defects have a well-formed inferior rim but little superior rim. Total defects refer to confluent defects with little superior and inferior rims. Intermediate defects, which are more suitable for transcatheter closure, have both well-formed inferior and superior rims.

The course of the disease depends mainly upon the degree of left-to-right shunting into the pulmonary trunk resulting in pulmonary vascular disease. If left untreated, irreversible obstructive changes in the pulmonary vascular bed develop, and death occurs in the first or second decade of life. Although surgical closure is the primary treatment, transcatheter APW closure can be performed as an alternative to surgery in uncomplicated patients with adequate rims. We describe two cases who underwent transcatheter APW closure with the Amplatzer duct occluder-I (ADO-I) (AGA Medical, Minn, USA).

CASE 1

A one-month-old boy, weighing 3.5 kg, was referred
to our outpatient clinic with a diagnosis of coronary fis-
tula. A physical examination revealed tachypnea, dys-
pnea and a 3/6 systolic murmur on the upper left margin
of the sternum. Chest radiography showed cardiome-
galy, and electrocardiography revealed normal sinus
rhythm with sinus tachycardia. An echocardiographic ex-
amination demonstrated a 3.5-4 mm wide, Type 4 APW
defect between the ascending aorta and main pulmo-
nary artery (Figure 1A, B). A bicuspid aorta, right aortic
arch and aberrant left subclavian artery accompanied
the APW. Computed tomography revealed a tubular
shaped APW with well-formed inferior and superior rims
(Figure 1C). Congestive heart failure secondary to APW
was considered, and decongestive treatment was ad-
ministered. However, the clinical features of congestive
heart failure did not regress during follow-up, and it was
decided to schedule the patient for transcatheter clo-
sure of the APW after informed consent was obtained.
The procedure was performed when the baby was two
months old and weighed 4 kg, under general anaes-
thesia while ventilating with a laryngeal mask. On angi-
ography, the APW was found to be 4.1 mm at the nar-
rowest part with a 7-mm longitudinal length, and the
Qp/Qs ratio was calculated as 2.5 (Figure 1D). The pul-
monary artery pressure was 35/16 (mean 25) mmHg and
the systemic arterial pressure was 71/40 (mean 55) mmHg.
A 4F right Judkins catheter (Cordis Corporation, Miami,
FL), located in the ascending aorta, was retrogradely ad-
vanced into the APW, pulmonary artery, right ventricle,
right atrium and inferior vena cava through the hydro-
philic guidewire. An atrioventricular loop was created by
capturing the guidewire in the inferior vena cava with a
15-mm snare catheter. The 7F sheath was then inserted
into the femoral vein and advanced through the wire to
the descending aorta. An 8/6 mm ADO-I device was ad-
vanced through the long sheath. The device retention
disc was opened in the aorta and the system was pulled
back through the APW. A control angiogram was per-
formed before the device was completely released, and
the location of the device was checked by echocardi-
ography (Figure 1E). The system was released after de-
ecting that the position was appropriate (Figure 1E).
There was no gradient indicative of obstruction at the
aorta or pulmonary artery. After the procedure, the pa-
tient was admitted to the paediatric cardiology inpatient
clinic for 2 days with regular follow-up, and was dis-

Figure 1. (A) Echocardiographic examination demonstrated a 3.5-4 mm wide defect between the ascending aorta and the main pulmonary artery
(white arrow). (B) Color Doppler shows defect between the ascending aorta and the main pulmonary artery (white arrow). (C) Computed tomography
revealed a tubular shaped APW with well-formed inferior and superior rims (white arrow). (D) Angiography shows a 4.1 mm APW at the narrowest
point with a 7 mm longitudinal length. (E) Control angiogram was performed before the device was completely released. (F) Angiogram after the de-
vice was completely released. Ao, aorta; APW, aortopulmonary window; PA, pulmonary artery.
charged without any complications. Outpatient follow-up revealed no residual defects and no obstructions of the aorta-pulmonary artery after two years.

CASE 2

A six-month-old girl, weighing 5.3 kg, was admitted to our outpatient clinic due to heart murmur. An echocardiographic examination revealed enlargement of the left ventricle (left-ventricle-end-diastolic diameter: 27 mm z-score: +2.8, left-ventricle-end-systolic diameter: 19 mm z-score: +2.1), a 5-mm defect between the ascending aorta and pulmonary artery (Type 4 APW), an additional small muscular ventricular septal defect and pulmonary arterial hypertension. Cardiac catheterization was performed under general anaesthesia. Angiograms were performed to determine the anatomy of the APW, and the diameter of the defect was found to be 4.5 mm at its narrowest point with a 6-mm longitudinal length, well separated from the semilunar valves. The pulmonary artery pressure was 45/21 (mean 33) mmHg and the systemic arterial pressure was 76/29 (mean 53) mmHg, and the Qp/Qs ratio was calculated as 2.6. The defect was crossed from the aortic side using a 4F right Judkins catheter (Cordis Corporation, Miami, FL) over a hydrophilic wire. The hydrophilic wire was then snared in the superior vena cava to create an arterio-venous circuit. A 7F sheet was introduced from the venous side, and a 10/8 mm ADO-1 device was deployed under trans-thoracic echocardiography and fluoroscopy guidance. One day after the procedure, the patient was discharged in a stable general condition.

DISCUSSION

APW is a defect resulting from incomplete separation of the walls of the main pulmonary artery and aorta at the conotruncal septum during early embryogenesis. With regards to the anatomical characteristics, APW is traditionally treated by surgery, and there are numerous reviews in the literature about surgical outcomes. The first treatment by transcatheter closure was described in the 1990s using a double umbrella occluder system. Since then, reports of transcatheter APW closure with different devices have emerged sporadically.

The first step for successful treatment is to determine which patients are appropriate for transcatheter APW closure. The location and size should be carefully defined in order to avoid device embolization, interference with pulmonary valve function, impairment of coronary artery flow and obstruction of the great vessels. Only defects with adequate superior and inferior rims can be considered for device closure. Therefore, defects suitable for percutaneous closure are generally proximal and intermediate-type APWs, which refer to a small defect midway between the semilunar valves and the pulmonary artery bifurcation. In most cases, angiographic and echocardiographic measurements are sufficient to select the device; however, computed tomography and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging can also be performed if echocardiography or angiography is not sufficient to evaluate the diameter of the defect. Balloon sizing can be a good alternative if the defect diameter cannot be assured when necessary. In our first patient, computed tomography was performed before the decision was made for transcatheter closure of the APW.

It is also a challenge to choose the appropriate device, and there is currently no consensus on the optimal device for APW closure. While there are currently no commercially available devices that are specifically designed for the transcatheter closure of APW, cases have been reported in which atrial septal defect, patent ductus arteriosus and muscular-perimembranous VSD occluder devices have been used. APWs do not differ in type, but only in shape. In some cases, the longitudinal length of the defect is higher, which results in a tubular shaped APW. Ductal occluders can be appropriate alternatives in patients with tubular APWs without the risk of protruding toward the main pulmonary artery. Therefore, ADO-I was preferred in both of our patients. However, in patients with short longitudinal lengths, devices with a shorter waist can be used. On the other hand, the direction of the intervention can also be determined according to the device features, the defect size and weight of the patient. The procedure can be done in an antegrade or retrograde way according to this information. If the patient’s artery is suitable and the device is symmetrical, the defect can be closed without performing an atrio-ventricular loop.

Transcatheter APW closure has several advantages:
extracorporeal circulation is avoided during the procedure, and the postoperative hospital stay is shorter. Numerous reviews in the literature about surgical outcomes have shown excellent results. Therefore, appropriate patients should be carefully selected for transcatheter APW closure to avoid complications such as stenosis in both sides of the defect and device embolization.

In conclusion, a transcatheter approach can be used as an alternative to surgery in infants with APW. Our experience shows that the ADO-I can achieve good results for the closure of APW in selected patients. Patient and device selection should be made very carefully according to the type, size, longitudinal length and shape of the APW.
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